The Dover Township Board of Supervisors Meeting for Monday, February 22, 2016, was called to order at 7:00 PM by Chairperson Matthew Menges in the Meeting Room of the Dover Township Municipal Building. Supervisors present were Matthew Menges, Stephen Parthree, Charles Richards, Stephen Stefanowicz and Robert Stone. Other Township Representatives in attendance were Laurel Oswalt, Township Manager; Attorney Charles Rausch, Township Solicitor; Michael Fleming, Township Public Works Director; Georgia Sprenkel, Township Zoning Officer; Terry Myers, Township Engineer; Corey McCoy, C.S. Davidson; Glenn Jansen, Township Fire Marshall; Corey Flythe, Township Water Operator and Tiffany Strine, Recording Secretary. Tammy Rinehart; Stenographer, was also present for the Public Hearing portion of this meeting. There were twenty-five citizens present. This meeting is being recorded for the purpose of minutes only.

Chair Menges announced; prior to the start of this meeting, a work session was held at 6:00 PM to discuss Mercantile/Business Privilege Tax Guidelines and the proposed Farmer’s Market concept at the Dover Township Golf Course property. The work session was then followed by a brief executive session to discuss the potential acquisition of real estate.

A moment of silence was requested, followed by the reciting of the Pledge of Allegiance to the American Flag.

A Public Hearing on the rezoning of five parcels located on East Canal Road was scheduled to begin at 7:00 PM. Due to unforeseen circumstances the applicant for the requested Public Hearing was stated to be running late. Chair Menges announced that the Board will carry on with the meeting to provide the applicant with extra time to arrive.

APPROVAL OF THE WORK SESSION MEETING MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 8, 2016

Motion by R. Stone and seconded by S. Stefanowicz to approve the Work Session Meeting minutes for February 8, 2016, as presented. Passed with 5 ayes

APPROVAL OF THE MEETING MINUTES FOR FEBRUARY 8, 2016

A revision was made by C. Richards to amend the February 8, 2016 minutes as follows; to edit sentence Number 7, Page 10, to now state, The E & B Bus company provided two buses for the Township if need presented itself.

Motion by S. Stefanowicz and seconded by R. Stone to approve the meeting minutes for February 8, 2016, as presented. Passed with 5 ayes

TREASURER’S REPORT

R. Stone voiced question on the Township warrant total presented. R. Stone asked Manager
Oswalt to clarify what defined the miscellaneous equipment expenditure on the Township warrant report listed on Page 2. Manager Oswalt clarified that Minor Equipment represents a budget line item and on Page 2 of the Township warrant; both minor equipment expenses questioned are recreational expenses. Manager Oswalt explained that this fee was incurred through a Township Credit Card held with BJ’s and is the only Credit Card the Township possesses.

Motion by S. Stefanowicz and seconded by C. Richards to approve the warrant total for February 8, 2016, in the amount of $215,494.35, as presented. Passed with 5 ayes

PUBLIC COMMENT

Dave Thomas - Dover Township resident residing at 3315 Colby Lane
Mr. Thomas stated that two years ago an outside contractor was performing work on sewer lines in his area. The work involved various repairs, excavation and back filling. Mr. Thomas stated that there are currently several problem areas located in the center of the roadway of the previously repaired area. He would like to see the area addressed in 2016 if possible. C. Richards stated that he and the Public Works Director; Michael Fleming, are going to be assessing all Township Roadways in the upcoming week and will look into this area.

PUBLIC HEARING ON THE REZONING OF FIVE PARCELS LOCATED ON EAST CANAL ROAD

Attorney Katherman; with Katherman, Heim and Perry Law Firm, addressed the Board. Attorney Katherman stated that he has received no response from his client for his presence this evening. Attorney Katherman stated, that he does not want to make his case without his client present; and asked that with the Boards kind indulgence, that they postpone their portion of the Public Hearing. Attorney Katherman stated that they will incur any additional expense and that if there are any time limitation issues, they will agree to provide them.

Attorney Rausch suggested that the Township open the Public Hearing. Attorney Rausch stated that the purpose of a Public Hearing is so that the Board may hear public comment; that being said, anyone whom wishes to make comment on the proposed rezoning should be heard this evening and the Township will reserve the right to continue the hearing at a later time.

A large number of residents were present to hear and make comment on the rezoning proposed, and the Board agreed to accommodate their time this evening.

Attorney Rausch noted that if the audience presents specific questions that may not be answerable, the Township may then continue with further questions at a later time.

On the advice of the Township Solicitor, Chair Menges declared the opening of the public hearing for the proposed rezoning of five parcels located on East Canal Road at 7:13 PM.

Attorney Rausch stated that the purpose of the public hearing is to take comment on the potential rezoning the five parcels located on East Canal Road from an R1 zoning status to an R3 zoning status which ultimately allows for a higher density for residential dwellings. The proposed
parcels for rezoning are asking to be rezoned collectively by Terra, LLC. As part of the rezoning process; the proposed rezoning plan has been submitted to the Township Planning Commission and who recommended Board approval. The York County Planning Commission recommended rezoning as well. Attorney Rausch stated that the Township is required to hold a public hearing to listen to any input in favor for or opposed to the idea of the rezoning.

Public Comments on the Rezoning of Five Parcels Located on East Canal Road

WILLIAM BRENNAeman - 1615 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Brenneman stated that he owns one of the properties that border the proposed areas for rezoning. Mr. Brenneman does not want his property rezoned to an R3 zoning status because by doing so it would make the newly rezoned area categorized as high density. Mr. Brenneman stated that he feels there is no guarantee that a developer will institute an age-directed community in the rezoned area.

GEORGE KIE - 1605 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Kile stated that he does not favor the idea of being rezoned. Mr. Kile stated that the developer of this area approached him with regards to the developer’s proposed development plan. Mr. Kile feels that it is not going to be an age-restricted community once developed and therefore he would like to see the property remained zoned as is.

JUSTIN SMITH - 1607 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Smith spoke and stated that he would not like his property rezoned for reasons previously stated herein by other residents.

Chair Menges inquired with Mr. Smith as to whether he has had adequate time to view the plans proposed for the development in the aforementioned location.

Mr. Smith stated that he has viewed the proposed plans for the development including site maps.

MARK STOVER - 1712 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Stover resides at a property located across from the proposed area for rezoning. Mr. Stover stated that he was informed that the proposed area for rezoning was to be developed into apartment complexes. Mr. Stover addressed personal concerns on how this proposed change may negatively affect the value of his property. Mr. Stover stated that to his knowledge; a single-family home based development would be better suited for property value reasons than apartment complexes.

WENDY BAER - 118 DELWOOD DRIVE
Ms. Baer stated that she resides in the Dover Borough; however, her property borders the area proposed for rezoning. Ms. Baer stated that her concern is a decrease of open land after the proposed development is established, due to adding the high density classification to the area thru the proposed rezoning. Ms. Baer stated that she specifically relocated to her current property for the personal benefits of less crowding. Ms. Baer appreciates that the land be developed and Ms. Baer would like to see the land developed under the current zoning of R1 constituting single-family homes. Ms. Baer stated that she would like to see the uniformity of
single-family homes being developed along with the currently existing single-family homes in place.

GEORGE KILE - 1605 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Kile made public comment for a second time. Mr. Kile stated that he had recently spoken with the developer of the proposed area for rezoning. Mr. Kile stated that he questioned the developer as to whether or not the proposed area for development is or is not going to be an age-directed community. Mr. Kile stated that the developer informed him that he cannot make such a guarantee. Mr. Kile feels that with a change in zoning on these properties may come proposed developmental changes as well in which he does not favor. Mr. Kile stated that he personally feels the developer may implement a different style of development once a zoning change is made. Mr. Kile stated that he feels the developer may create a much higher density based housing development and that with this aspect, he feels that this may generate problem elements.

TANNER SPANGLER - 1612 CANAL ROAD
Mr. Spangler stated he was present to speak on behalf of the Shoemaker family who resides on five acres off of Fox Run Road, this being property that borders the proposed area for rezoning. Mr. Spangler remarked that it is his understanding that the developer is seeking rezoning and then looking to develop the property to his plans. Mr. Spangler stated that he has recently purchased a home in Dover Township with the intention of being distant from developed areas. Mr. Spangler stated that he is aware of the growing need for developments but does not favor them. Mr. Spangler commented that he is opposed to the rezoning proposal. Mr. Spangler continued that he has personally grown up in a high-density area and that he would not like to live in or near a high-density area again.

GARTH EMIG AND BARB EMIG - 106 DELWOOD
Mr. Emig stated that he has been a resident of Dover Township for thirty years. Mr. Emig commented that he does not wish to see the proposed rezoning. Mr. Emig stated that he feels the developer may develop the newly zoned areas into low-income housing and that he does not favor this idea. Mrs. Emig stated that she appreciates the current views surrounding her home and would like them to remain constant.

MICHAEL HUSSON - 1681 PARK STREET
Mr. Husson stated that he was not present during previously held meetings to witness plans provided with regards to the proposed area for rezoning. Mr. Husson clarified that he had heard that an age-restricted development is being planned on the proposed area for rezoning. Mr. Husson stated that he has viewed the Dover Township Zoning Ordinance § 27404, Subsection 3e, currently allowing age-restricted communities in an R1 zoning classification. Mr. Husson stated that if the developer is implementing an age-restricted community; the developer may do so in the current zone classification. Mr. Husson stated he understands that a lot of the area proposed for rezoning is currently zoned under the R3 classification. Mr. Husson stated that he is in agreement with the other abovementioned public comments on this topic. Mr. Husson reinstated that if the developer is able to implement their desired age-restricted community in the current zone classification; then why implement the proposed rezoning, except for issue of formality with surrounding zoning classifications. Mr. Husson suggested that the Township remain careful in the types of housing the Township allows because the Township may possibly
add a lot more children to the area and the currently overcrowded public school system by allowing specific types of housing.

Chair Menges clarified that the developer’s proposed plans specify the development is not to be an age-restricted but is to be an age-directed community.

Mr. Husson questioned if the proposed development was going to contain only one access. Mr. Husson added that if the proposed development plans implement the specified eighty-five units, the out flow of traffic may not be well received. Attorney Rausch informed Mr. Husson that his question would constitute a land development inquiry. Attorney Rausch stated that if the property remained zoned as is, Mr. Husson’s question would have to be dealt with during the land development process.

KEITH HOKE - 1642 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Hoke stated that he is opposed to the rezoning. Mr. Hoke stated that when the proposed land previously sold; it was to his understanding, that the constituents who purchased the land were planning to sell building lots of the land for the development of single-family homes and the plans were not fulfilled. Mr. Hoke stated that he assumed the developer’s next best plan was to revert to implementing a high-density development. Mr. Hoke stated that he foresees a development being implemented like that of the Wandering Streams Development. Mr. Hoke stated that he feels there are a lot of problems that occur within the Wandering Streams Development area and that he currently feels the repercussions from these problems.

BARRY GRIM - 1718 WEST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Grim stated that he has been a resident for over forty years and that he is opposed to the proposed rezoning. Mr. Grim added that he enjoys the current views his property holds. Mr. Grim inquired on the proposed development plans for the area being rezoned and where its entrance is going to be located.

Attorney Rausch stated that the specific entrance to the proposed development has yet to be determined at this point in time. Attorney Rausch stated that only sketches were made for the proposed development at this time. Attorney Rausch reaffirmed that the purpose of the public hearing being held, is to look at whether or not the land itself should be rezoned from R1 to R3 classifications. Once this is done; the landowner may do whatever may be permitted in the final zone classification selection. Therefore; the developer would have to return to the Township, submit a plan to the Board and present what they would like to do. Attorney Rausch informed everyone that the board has seen sketch plans but they are not binding and may change.

Chair Menges informed the public that the proposed development will be marketed towards the fifty plus age group but not age restricted. Therefore; no established percentage of the age fifty or older individuals will be required to purchase a house in the proposed development, sales will be directed towards this specific age group.

Mr. Grim also addressed concern with the abundant overflow of storm water that occurs frequently on his property and in the proposed rezoning area.

Attorney Rausch commented on this concern and stated that Mr. Grim may benefit from the
proposed development; whereas, the proposed development will have to comply with strict storm water management guidelines that may help reduce the storm water runoff onto various properties such as Mr. Grim’s property.

Chair Menges stated that the proposed development is currently still a work in progress. The Board has reviewed the proposed plans and the Board has chosen to now move forward and hear public comment on the ideas surrounding this matter.

WILLIAM BRENNEMAN - 1615 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Brenneman made public comment a second time. Mr. Brenneman addressed the Board in asking if the proposed developer can currently implement the developer’s desired age-directed community utilizing the current zone classification, then why rezone for a different classification.

Chair Menges stated that the request for the rezoning has been made and at the present time there was no specific reason to deny the request denied at the outset. Therefore a public hearing is being held to provide opportunity to hear from the public.

Ms. Sprenkel stated that to develop an age-restricted community R1 zone classification; the developer must possess sixty acres, to be equivalent to that of the age-directed community guidelines.

Attorney Rausch ascertained that to be an age-restricted community comes along with many federal regulations. One regulation to this classification is that eighty-five percent of the development’s population has to be fifty-five years of age or older. An age-directed development would not be required to meet that percentage but would instead try to market to senior citizens; there is no requirement to sell to senior citizens. This Board is not permitted by law to approve or disapprove a development based on whom may live in a certain location.

Chair Menges stated that with all matters addressed; the Board is very much aware that by changing the zoning, that this would be changing the characteristics of the area as well. The Board is aware that once the zoning is changed with the plans not yet approved, there are no guarantees that the development is implemented in this manner.

GEORGE KILE - 1605 EAST CANAL ROAD
Mr. Kile made public comment a third time. Mr. Kile stated that he is aware of various laws and he feels the laws hold a tight rein on what the Township’s Board of Supervisors may or may not allow. Mr. Kile feels that the rezoning will not be favorable and may create undesirable elements not favored by the currently surrounding residents.

MICHAEL HUSSON - 1681 PARK STREET
Mr. Husson addressed the Board in asking for clarification. Mr. Husson inquired as to the correct term the development will be classified as.

Chair Menges stated that the developer’s proposed plans at this time are to construct an age-directed community. Mr. Husson replied, stating there is no set specification within the Township’s ordinance regarding an age-directed community. Chair Menges clarified that this is
due to the fact that it is not regulated, but instead is a reference toward the marketing of the dwellings.

Mr. Husson clarified that as per Ms. Sprenkel’s earlier statement; in an R1 zoning classification the land possessed must contain at least sixty acres and in an R3 zoning classification the land possessed must be at least thirty acres. This being declared, the proposed development cannot be deemed age-restricted in an R3 zoning classification. Mr. Husson stated that this idea affirms that it will most likely consist of multi-family dwelling units because it cannot be age-restricted as per the ordinance. Mr. Husson stated that he is not clear on his stance on the matter at this time.

Chair Menges informed the Board and the public, that out of fairness and for the development of full record on the issue, the Board will issue a continuance to the public hearing.

Attorney Rausch stated that there will be no further notification of the continuance of the current public hearing which will reconvene on March 14, 2016.

Attorney Katherman stated that this is a concern that he has seen many times. Attorney Katherman added that during the rezoning of land a developer will present a proposed development and ask to have it rezoned to meet necessary guidelines to do so. With the process of doing so, the fact that the applicant may have other development options available is often raised. Attorney Katherman clarified that the person who spoke with residents in areas surrounding the proposed area for rezoning was not the developer of the land. Jim Price; who spoke with residents, is Attorney Katherman’s client and not the developer. Attorney Katherman stated that the proposed development plan presented consists of; eight, four-plex units, built to mirror a design of a very attractive development in West Manchester Township. Due to the limited number of bedrooms they portray and the homes consisting of one floor units, the attributes were geared towards an older clientele.

Mr. Katherman stated that proposed rezoning is the wise land use choice. Mr. Katherman stated that the York County Planning Commission maintains the sole function of evaluating and providing their determinations as to what is appropriate for specific areas of land. The York County Planning Commission feels that the proposed rezoning is a good choice because most of the adjacent land to the proposed site for rezoning are already zoned in an R3 zoning classification. Attorney Katherman maintained that an R3 zoning classification is not the highest designated density of housing and that this Township goes to zones above an R3 zoning classification such as R4 zoning classification. The proposed area for rezoning lies in the middle of an area currently zoned an R3 zoning classification. Mr. Katherman stated that with the Board’s indulgences, he will bring Mr. Price to the continued public hearing on March 14th to address any questions. Mr. Katherman thanked the Board and the public for their comments.

Chair Menges affirmed that this public hearing will be continued on March 14, 2016 during the scheduled Board of Supervisors meeting at 7:00 PM at the Dover Township Municipal Building. Chair Menges respectfully thanked the public for their attendance and comments on the proposed matter.

Chair Menges closed the public hearing at 7:52 PM
ZONING REPORT by Zoning Officer Georgia Sprenkel

Discussion on Plan 15-3-Robert E. Twitchell 2 Lot Subdivision
Dave Hoffman; Hoffman Land Surveying, presented a subdivision plan for Mr. Robert E. Twitchell. The subdivision plan includes a nine acre parcel along North Salem Church Road. The owner wishes to divide the land into two parcels. The properties will be serviced by the public sewer system. Along with the subdivision plan being presented, Mr. Hoffman stated requests to make modifications to implement the proposed subdivision plans regarding ordinance compliance.

Mr. Hoffman clarified the waivers being requested for this property. The first waiver request is that where a subdivision abuts or contains an existing street of inadequate width, the developer shall provide sufficient additional right-of-way and cartway widths to meet the declared standards. Referencing the Zoning Ordinance § 22-704.B. The street in question is N. Salem Church Road which is classified as Rural Local. The required right-of-way for this street is fifty feet and the required cartway is twenty-eight feet wide with four foot shoulders.

Mr. Hoffman clarified the second waiver as where the proposed subdivision is located within the designated growth boundary and a water main supply system is within 1,000 feet, or where plans approved by the Township provide for the installation of such public water facilities The subdivided shall provide the subdivision plan with a complete water main supply system to be connected to the existing or proposed water main supply system if; in the Township Board of Supervisor’s opinion, it is feasible. Referencing the Zoning Ordinance § 22-713.3.A.

To justify the requested modification; a feasibility study was performed and submitted to the Township for the projected work. The matters concerning the cost of running a water connection and the feasibility of getting well water on the sites were considered. Results indicated that it is not financially feasible; to connect to public water services in this area from the closest area available, as the cost was estimated to be $70,000.00 to do so. The geology indicated the subsurface water supply to supply to two homes is adequate.

Terry Myers; Township Engineer, added that prior to issuance of a building permit to this site, a well will need to be drilled and verified proving there is a water source available. The continuance of the subdivision will then be followed through if the drilling of the well proves positive results. The proposed requirement will then be waived and the Township will add the extra requirement to the plan that the owner drill a well first.

Chair Menges asked that with the waiver request to widen the cartway; the developer shall provide additional right-of-way and cartway widths. Chair Menges stated that he understands that this specific roadway does not require them to widen the cartway; but in the same respects, that he would like the right-of-way to remain in compliance with the Ordinance should there be a need for widening the road in this location at a later time. Chair Menges established Township stance in that this function is making the cartway path wider only.

Mr. Hoffman stated that the current right-of-way in this area is twenty-five feet from the center line of the road way. Mr. Hoffman stated that this area is currently up for dedication on a subdivision plan from years ago; however, there is no additional right-of-way supplied with the proposed plan because it meets the Township’s requirements.
Mr. Myers stated that both the right-of-way and the cartway fall into the same provision and therefore they both present themselves in the request; however, only the one is the subject of the waiver.

**Motion** by C. Richards and seconded by S. Parthree to approve the waivers for the road widening and to approve the waivers for the connection to public water in this location for the declared subdivision, as presented. **Passed** with 5 ayes.

Chair Menges affirmed the following open items for Plan 15-3-Robert E. Twitchell 2 Lot Subdivision being: 1) prior to final plan approval, a disc in an electronic format compatible with the Township GIS system, should be provided. 2) The legal and or equitable Owner’s notarized signature must be added to the plan certifying concurrence with the plan. 3) A Planning Module approval should be obtained.

Mr. Myers added an additional fourth item; 4) Payment of recreation in lieu of fee is required.

**Motion** by S. Stefanowicz and seconded by R. Stone to approve the Plan 15-3 for Robert E. Twitchell; at 5030 North Salem Road, with the four open items aforementioned, as presented. **Passed** with 5 ayes.

**ENGINEER’S REPORT By Township Engineer Terry Myers**

**Discussion of Emig Mill Road Water Line Replacement Project Schedule**

Mr. Myers stated that he has been in discussions with Corey Flythe; Township Water Systems Operator, regarding the schedule of events for the Emig Mill Road water line replacement project. Mr. Myers stated that the Board has made previous inquiries on the time line of the project. Mr. Myers presented the Board with a draft schedule of the Emig Mill Road water line replacement project.

C. Richards stated that he has spoken with Mr. Flythe and it was noted that there are a few sewer issues needing repair within the same location of the Emig Mill Road water line replacement project. C. Richards stated that both he and Mr. Flythe agree to consider working accordingly; with both the Township Water and Township Sewer departments, to adequately address both department’s areas of concern at one time to greatly reduce any foreseeable inconvenience to public roadway access during the repair process.

Michael Fleming; Township Public Works Director, added that to avoid these inconveniences, it was recommended that the Township Water, Township Sewer and Columbia Gas Company projects occur at the same time. At this time, the Township is currently awaiting commitment from Columbia Gas.

Mr. Myers stated that the payment for the Emig Mill Road water line replacement project will be implemented within two different fiscal years. The engineering fees and preparation work payments will be allocated to the 2016 Township budget. The remaining portion due will be allocated to the 2017 Township budget.

**Board Consensus** was that the draft schedule was suitable.
SOLICITOR’S REPORT by Attorney Charles Rausch

Attorney Rausch issued comment only.

Attorney Rausch made it known that the Board maintains a sixty day period from the date of the last advertisement; that date beginning February 9, 2016, to approve the proposed ordinance set forth before the Board. After the sixty day period, the Board would have to re-advertise the proposed ordinance.

MANAGER'S REPORT by Manager Laurel Oswalt

Approve Resolution 2016-12 - Appointing Alternate Members to the Dover Township Planning Commission
Manager Oswalt stated that Resolution 2016-12; Appointing Alternate Members to the Dover Township Planning Commission, establishes the terms of the individuals.

Motion by S. Stefanowicz and seconded by C. Richards to accept Resolution 2016-12 Appointing Alternate Members to the Dover Township Planning Commission, as presented. Passed with 5 ayes

Approve Resolution 2016-13 - To Exonerate Kristine Keener of Uncollectable Per Capita Taxes
Manager Oswalt asked for approval of Resolution 2016-13 to Exonerate Kristine Keener of Uncollectible Per Capita Taxes for the 2015 year.

Motion by C. Richards and seconded by R. Stone to accept Resolution 2016-13 to Exonerate Kristine Keener of Uncollectible Per Capita Taxes for the 2015 year, as presented. Passed with 5 ayes

Update on the Treasurer’s Report
Manager Oswalt addressed R. Stone’s previously made inquiries regarding expenditures from the February 22, 2016 warrant listing.

Manager Oswalt clarified the items purchased in the amount of $212.84 were made to purchase additional shelving for storage space use. A second amount of $500.00 was clarified as the purchase of an auger machine, gloves and a drain snake to be used for plumbing purposes.

OLD BUSINESS

Welcome to Dover Sign
Chair Menges asked for updates regarding the Welcome to Dover Sign project. Manager Oswalt stated that she inquired on the status of the project with Mr. Charles Benton during the last held Industrial Commercial Development Committee meeting. At this time Mr. Benton is still working on the details of this project. Mr. Benton informed Manager Oswalt that he hoped
to provide her with more detail during the next upcoming I/CD Committee meeting. Manager Oswalt stated that she had asked Mr. Benton if another department within the school would also be interested in the painting of the V-Plow for one of the Township plow trucks. Manager Oswalt stated that Mr. Benton informed her that he will look into this project as well and delegate the option to the appropriate department within the school.

C. Richards made comment on the Public Works section of the Manager’s Report. C. Richards inquired with Mr. Fleming with respects to the notation that Kinsley expressed interest in the expansion of buildings for Raycom. Mr. Fleming informed C. Richards that he and Mr. Myers recently received e-mails from Kinsley Construction. Kinsley Construction implied that they may have a potential development client as they were questioning the extension of the sanitary sewer and the roadway in this location. C. Richards asked if such a matter would tie into the North of the Borough Sewer lines. Mr. Fleming stated that this line would not be associated with the North of the Borough, as they would be able to tie into the sanitary sewer within the Dover Industrial Park.

**Dedication of Streets in Faire Wynd Phases 1 and 2**

Manager Oswalt addressed the dedication for streets in the Faire Wynd area, Phases 1 and 2. Manager Oswalt stated that the Township has received the proper bonds and the adequate liquid fuels check payment; therefore, these phases are now available for adoption by the Township.

Mr. Fleming inquired with Manager Oswalt in asking if the sewer clean outs have been properly located and addressed accordingly. Manager Oswalt stated that eight of the sewer clean outs have not been located due to the snow and the remainder were found and not of issue.

Mr. Myers informed the Board that Faire Wynd had recently submitted an updated Resolution to him and Ms. Sprenkel for review. The Resolution was presented to the Board for review.

**Motion** by C. Richards and seconded by S. Stefanowicz to accept Resolution 2016-14 to Adopt Streets in Faire Wynd, Phases 1 and 2, as presented. **Passed** with 5 ayes

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM THE BOARD**

R. Stone informed the Board that he had recently attended one of two boot camp training sessions and that he is scheduled to attend an upcoming York County Storm Water Consortium meeting later this week.

S. Stefanowicz commented that he would like the Board to review the on-lot septic ordinance and would like to request a work session for this matter.

**Board Consensus** was to schedule a future work session on this matter.

S. Parthree respectfully extended gratitude to Mrs. Harris for her efforts in her report and efforts in the collection of ads for the Township newsletter.

C. Richards stated that he will be assessing Township roadways in the upcoming week. C. Richards, Mr. Fleming and Gerald Lightly; Roadways Crew Chief, will be collectively
participating in the assessment. C. Richards extended gratitude towards Mr. Lighty for the great efforts he has shown as the newly appointed Township Highway Crew Chief.

PUBLIC COMMENT
None to note.

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned by Chair Menges at 8:40 PM.

Respectfully submitted by:  
Tiffany Strine, Recording Secretary